

Livelihood Sovereignty (LISO)

Mekong 1990s - 2010s

LISO copyrights
Tran Thi Lanh

Structural Poverty A Rights based Approach

Three vicious circles causing structural poverty

- 1. Being Isolated which led to
- 2. In-confident which led to
- 3. No-Ownership

Break down Structure Poverty

- Consolidate and Encourage Social Capital (Traditional value and Logic in order to challenge over Assimination via Globalization and Conventionalization) -
- Facilitate and Strengthen Traditional Political Capital/local Institutional Logic in order to over top down mind set of Development;
- Sustaining Natural Ecological Landscape Diversity Capital

Livelihood Sovereignty

5 fundamental rights of LISO need to be legalized

- 1. Rights to Land
- 2. Rights to belief in Land
- 3. Rights to practice local knowledge
- 4. Rights to maintain local varieties
- 5. Rights to share-responsibility

Ecological Home

- 1. Diversity
- 2. Unique
- 3. Interacting
- 4. Adaptability
- 5. Sustainability

Toward Ethnic Women (TEW 1994)

Why TEW? (women's rights needs to be recognized by legal system!)

- Herbal knowledge & Textile Handicraft (Wisdom/Spirit - Species - Knowledge) need to be legalized
- Community Health Care and Livelihood need to be secured by their own knowledge
- Bio-Cultural Diversity needs to be enriched

Three Field Offices 1994 NIRD - TIRD - CIRD

- 1. Three Social Capital Foundations via Key Farmer Networking (CBO/OD and CBI/ID).
- 2. Three Political Foundations via Six Key Customary Law-Based Thematic Actions.
- 3. Three Ecological-Capital Zones via Forest and Land Areas to be re-allocated to Communities and households.
- 4. Three Social Political -Ecological Platforms for Dialoguing and Negotiating with local authority.
- 5. Three Regional Practical Community Development Curriculums for sharing and enlarging.

NIRD-CIRD-TIRD - interface for Stakeholder Interest & Concern Analysis

- Local Knowledge & Local Seed Variety/Species vs.
 Modern/Industrial Applied Knowledge & Hybrid Species (Enriching and Socializing Local Copyrights Intellectually and Ecologically with regards to Social Capital Enrichment)
- Customary Law-Based Livelihood Sovereignty vs Company-Based Livelihood Dependency (Consolidating Traditional Political Institutional Logic with regards to Political Capital)
- Sharing for Enriching Ecological Livelihood Identity and Traditional Village Wellbeing (Harmonious Human Nature with regards to Ecological Capital)
- Lobbying Forest and Land Policy (Ba Vi, Son La, Lai Chau, Lao Cai, Nghe An, Ha Tinh, Quang Binh, Gia Lai, Kon Tum, Dak Lak)

Community and Customary Law-Based Policy Analysis

- Women's rights to Forest and Land Land Law 2003 Article 48 3b & 3c.
- Community rights to Forestry and Land.
- Customary Law legalized Forest Preservation and Development Article 29. 2004.
- Traditional wisdom based Category Based Landscape Mapping Analysis.
- Mother Trees Positioning and Profiling (GPS).
- Local Seed Sovereignty and Enrichment.

CSOs Development and Policy Changes

TEW established for Women Rights:

- 1. The right to intellectual copyright of women to herbal wisdom,
- 2. The right to intellectual copyright of women to handicraft textile,
- 3. The right of women to continue exercising/practicing the above 2 rights in their own Ecological Home,
- 4. The right of women to be equally standing in the land use rights titles;
- 5. The right of women to maintain their local knowledge to continue their traditional ways of living for intergenerational learning.

CSOs Development and Policy Changes

CHESH 1999 established for Natural Rights:

- 1. Diversity Da dang
- 2. Unique Độc đáo
- 3. Interacting Tương tác
- 4. Adaptability Thích nghi
- 5. Sustainability Ben vững

Working in Conservation, National Park, Watershed areas in Vietnam, Laos, Thailand, Cambodia, Myanmar, Yunnan China)

CSOs Development and Policy Changes

CIRD 2000 established for Indigenous Rights:

- 1. Indigenous Landscape Heritage vs. Modern Landscape;
- Native Variety Species (Seed Sovereignty vs. Seed Dependency);
- 3. Ecological Livelihood Right vs Industrial dependent Livelihood;
- 4. Bio-Cultural Diversity vs. Global Monopoly;
- 5. Indigenous Wisdom & Nurturing Nature vs. Industrial Technology & exploitation of nature.

Centralizing Endogenous Resources Merging TEW-CHESH-CIRD into SPERI 2005

- 1. Key Farmer Network be enriched to become Social Capital;
- 2. Customary Laws Consolidated to become Political Capital;
- 3. Forest Growing to become Ecological Capital

Target group: policy makers, academics and media; business sector

Separated CODE from SPERI (Consultancy on Development - CODE 2007)

- Why CODE?
 - Born to defend the right of landscapes and also communities where projects were largely profits making based and Nature exploitation based for Corporations purposes;
 - Reduce risks for SPERI politically;
- Linking Independent Intellectual Activists to study and examine and provide evidence against International companies/corporations and aggressive policy makers;
- Coordinating Intellectual Activists Business Sectors and Policy Maker (DMP)
- Empowering Civil Society organizational and institutional development and connecting with community leadership;
- Pushing for Extractive Industry Transparency and Responsibility

(Bauxite, Titanium, Extractive Industries, Transparency Initiative)

Transforming MECO-ECOTRA (1995-2015) To YIELDS-AGREE (2015-2025) CENDI 2015

- 1. Enterprising Ecological Cultural Capital to become Eco-Product for Niche Market;
- 2. Up-grading Key Farmers to become Com. Entrepreneurs;
- 3. Customary Law-Based Community Enterprises/HTX (POE)
- 4. Continue Forest Land Rights for Forest Land Law Lobbying
- 5. Continue Practical Eco-Farming Curriculums for Training of Trainers

(Household, Community, Region, Inter-Region Levels)

CENDI Way Forward 2015-2025

- 1. Defending Local Seeds Varieties Sovereignty through seeds learning and enriching centers *versus* GM seeds;
- Moving Forward Article 86 (community ownership in sacred forest) into the National Law *versus* the assimilation of primary/natural forestland to now GM-land;
- 3. Transforming Article 86 to daily practice at the village level;
- 4. Capacity Building for YIELDs-AGREE in ecological farming in land use planning; post eco-harvest processing; and build up eco-trade mark in niche market and documenting;
- 5. Building up Clients awareness-raising for sharing and supporting ecological-cultural products;
- 6. Consolidate community entrepreneurs versus private business entrepreneurs.

1990s-2010s

Financial Partner only ICCO Six inter-thematic networking

- 1. Customary Law in Natural Resource Responsibility;
- 2. Herbal Wisdom in Com. Health Care and Bio-Cultural Diversity;
- 3. Handicraft Textile and Eco-Livelihood
- 4. Eco-Farming in Land Use Planning for Livelihood Sovereignty;
- HEPA Eco-Farming School (Household, Community, Region, International);
- 6. Saving and Credit for Livestock Husbandry

2010 -2015 Co- Funding

ICCO - NPA - BROT – CCFD

Continue Forest Land Rights for Households and Communities

2014-2016

ICCO - CCFD - CARITAS - NPA

- Customary Law right
- Community Rights
- Forest and land rights
- Local Seed Variety Sovereignty

2017-2020

CCFD - CARITAS - MISEREOR

- 1. Customary Law & Community rights.
- 2. Local Seed Variety Sovereignty Defending.
- 3. Community Entrepreneur Capacity Building.
- 4. AGREE and Niche Marketing.
- 5. Agro-Ecology & Community Wellbeing Conference & Pulishment (Book).